
FRBSF WEEKLY LETTER

Number 92-42, November 27, 1992

Where's the Recovery?

Technically, the U.S. has been in an economic recovery for a year and a half. After a contraction in GDP that lasted from 1990:Q3 through 1991:Q1, real output expanded in the subsequent six quarters at an annual rate of 1.5 percent. But this is a weak performance compared to the previous six recoveries, when the annual growth rate for the first six quarters of expansion averaged 4.1 percent. Employment growth has been even more sluggish, making the current expansion the weakest in job performance of any postwar recovery. The number of jobs actually fell at a 0.3 percent rate since the second quarter of 1992, compared to an average 2.0 percent expansion seen in earlier recoveries.

A previous *Weekly Letter* (91-40) argued that the recession was unusually concentrated in a few key regions. This *Weekly Letter* follows up that analysis by identifying which regions are adding jobs and which regions are holding down employment growth during the expansion period. We find that while job gains are occurring in a majority of states, far fewer states than usual are reporting gains at this stage of a recovery. Moreover, the states that have continued to suffer job losses during the expansion tend to be the same ones that accounted for unusually large shares of the job losses during the earlier contraction in output.

Concentrated recession: July 1990–May 1991

The Business Cycle Dating Committee at the National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), which has been dating peaks and troughs of recessions back to 1950, set the peak of the most recent business cycle in July 1990, but it has not yet dated the trough. Nevertheless, based on the growth in real output, a case can be made that the expansion began during the second quarter of 1991. Accordingly, this analysis uses May 1991 as the trough month, and we examine the regional pattern of employment growth both before and after that month.

The period of economic contraction between July 1990 and May 1991 showed an unusual degree of regional variation in economic performance. During this period, 22 states actually had job *gains* that totaled 190,000. This is the largest number of states with job gains (and consequently the fewest number with job losses) of any postwar recession. In the 1981–1982 recession, for example, only four states recorded job gains.

Of the 28 states that recorded employment declines, a few key states accounted for a large portion of the 1,890,000 jobs lost. For example, New York, New Jersey, and Massachusetts accounted for 30 percent of the job losses. Adding in the rest of New England raises the job losses accounted for by the Northeast to 38 percent. California accounted for 16 percent of the national job losses. As a result, the Northeast and California combined accounted for over half of the jobs lost in the recession, even though they accounted for only 28 percent of the employment at the beginning of the period—a further reflection of the concentrated nature of this recession.


Unbalanced recovery: May 1991–September 1992

The pattern of regional concentration of weakness has persisted during the period of expansion from May 1991 to September 1992, the last period for which data are available. In total, employment grew in some 30 states during this period, adding 601,000 jobs nationally. As shown in the figure, the top ten performing states each enjoyed employment growth of over 2 percent, with Arkansas, Utah, and Idaho expanding by over 3.5 percent. In general, the Plains and Rocky Mountain states have performed well, accounting for most of the job growth over the period.

In contrast, some 20 states (and Washington, D.C.) have lost employment during the expansion, for a

FRBSF

**Total Nonagricultural Employment Growth Rates
May 1991 to Sep 1992**



total job loss of 1,266,000. The ten worst performing states continued to suffer severe employment losses ranging from 1.9 percent to 4.4 percent since May 1991. Except for California, all are Northeast or Mid-Atlantic states. New York lost 252,000 jobs, accounting for 20 percent of the total jobs lost. Adding New Jersey and New England to the New York total brings the Northeast total to 563,000 jobs lost during the period, or 44 percent of the continued employment decline. California suffered the largest number (though not the largest percent) of job losses: 321,000, which accounts for a quarter of the total jobs lost.

Thus the regions most responsible for job losses during the contraction (the Northeast and California) continue to drive employment losses during the expansion, accounting for almost two-thirds of the gross job loss. With the job losses outweighing job gains, the state-level employment figures reveal a net national job loss of 665,000. Were it not for the losses in the Northeast and California, however, this expansion would show a net job gain of 219,000. This analysis suggests that continued economics stress in these regions is dragging down the national recovery.

How does this pattern of recovery compare to past recoveries? To answer this question, we examined the corresponding sixteen-month periods following the troughs of the six previous recessions. In all but one of the previous recoveries almost all states enjoyed job growth. For example, sixteen months after the trough of the 1982–1983 recession, 48 out of 50 states recorded expanding employment, and in the same period after the trough of the 1974–1975 recession, 49 states enjoyed job growth. The one exception is the period following the 1980.Q1–1980.Q3 recession, and that is because the economy expanded for only 12 months before dipping back into recession beginning in July 1981. Except in the period between the back-to-back recessions then, the large number of states suffering employment losses in the current expansion is unprecedented in postwar history.

Does it matter?

The continued concentration of job losses in a few states during the current economic expansion suggests that many of the regional economic problems that helped push the nation into the recession are still with us and therefore still work as a drag on the economy. The stress in states still experiencing job losses are related in part to adjustment to problems in specific sectors like commercial real estate and development, defense-related industries, and aerospace. The adjustment of regional economies to the problems in these sectors can be expected to be drawn out over a number of years. The slow process of adjustment of regional economies would account in part at least for the failure of the national economy to bounce back as smartly from the recent economic downturn as it has following previous recessions.

Brian Cromwell
Economist

Karen Trenholme
Research Associate

DISTRICT INDICATORS
(Seasonally Adjusted)

| | 92Q3 | 92Q2 | 92Q1 | 91Q4 | 91Q3 | 91Q2 | 91Q1 | 90Q4 | % Change From: | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|
| | | | | | | | | | 92Q2 | 91Q3 |
| AGRICULTURE | | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. crop prices, 1985=100 | 107.9 | 108.2 | 109.6 | 111.0 | 114.5 | 116.1 | 113.3 | 114.7 | -5.83 | -6.98 |
| District crop prices, 1985=100 | 111.6 | 102.2 | 113.7 | 108.4 | 120.7 | 129.1 | 107.4 | 113.0 | -7.53 | -21.74 |
| Farm cash receipts, million \$ | 2529.4 | 2477.9 | 2500.4 | 2694.2 | 2529.5 | 2698.3 | 2529.0 | 2629.7 | -0.01 | -12.45 |
| Cattle-on-feed, 1985=100 | 91.3 | 86.9 | 86.1 | 80.8 | 84.4 | 92.0 | 92.1 | 87.2 | 8.29 | -5.39 |
| Cattle prices, California, \$/Cwt. | 60.5 | 58.4 | 60.9 | 62.1 | 62.6 | 66.4 | 64.5 | 63.9 | -3.46 | -13.46 |
| FORESTRY | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lumber production, millions board feet | 1381.1 | 1287.1 | 1417.9 | 1351.8 | 1428.7 | 1467.7 | 1359.0 | 1360.5 | -3.33 | -18.48 |
| Northwest lumber inventory, millions board feet | 2233.2 | 2283.1 | 2185.7 | 2302.6 | 2411.0 | 2307.8 | 2388.9 | 2340.3 | -7.38 | -1.99 |
| U.S. lumber prices, 1986=100 | 147.3 | 154.4 | 157.1 | 137.2 | 131.2 | 138.3 | 113.8 | 120.6 | 12.27 | 11.64 |
| ENERGY | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spot price of oil, \$/barrel | 21.7 | 21.1 | 18.9 | 21.8 | 21.6 | 20.8 | 22.1 | 32.1 | 0.13 | 1.61 |
| U.S. rig count | 681.6 | 696.0 | 650.9 | 789.1 | 802.6 | 924.3 | 951.1 | 1096.3 | -15.08 | -24.71 |
| District rig count | 59.7 | 68.9 | 55.6 | 60.9 | 73.3 | 83.8 | 73.2 | 74.5 | -18.59 | -17.74 |
| Fuel mining employment, 1985=100 | 68.3 | 70.3 | 70.1 | 69.9 | 72.7 | 73.6 | 74.8 | 73.9 | -6.06 | -4.44 |
| U.S. seismic crew count | 71.2 | 81.3 | 80.2 | 89.7 | 98.4 | 110.2 | 117.9 | 120.3 | -27.62 | -24.67 |
| MINING | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mineral prices, 1986=100 | 106.9 | 107.7 | 105.3 | 103.2 | 105.6 | 109.2 | 108.2 | 112.2 | 1.26 | -1.40 |
| Metal mining employment, 1985=100 | 175.2 | 177.4 | 180.9 | 180.7 | 184.1 | 185.9 | 193.1 | 195.9 | -4.87 | -4.86 |
| CONSTRUCTION | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nonresidential awards, 1985=100 | 95.5 | 104.1 | 115.0 | 103.7 | 93.4 | 103.1 | 106.3 | 101.1 | 2.28 | 0.96 |
| Residential permits | 19586 | 19182 | 19780 | 19496 | 18524 | 19833 | 17667 | 18524 | 5.74 | 3.29 |
| Western housing starts, thousands | 26.3 | 26.7 | 21.9 | 19.5 | 24.1 | 25.5 | 15.6 | 18.6 | 9.27 | 5.37 |
| Construction employment, thousands | 893.1 | 906.6 | 906.9 | 912.1 | 929.3 | 938.8 | 957.7 | 1002.0 | -3.90 | -3.26 |
| MANUFACTURING | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wages, California, \$/hour | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 3.28 | 3.50 |
| Employment, thousands | 2871.1 | 2908.6 | 2948.0 | 2956.4 | 2982.4 | 3005.8 | 3050.3 | 3102.4 | -3.73 | -3.20 |
| Durables, 1985=100 | 90.2 | 91.7 | 93.0 | 93.9 | 95.3 | 96.3 | 97.9 | 100.0 | -5.28 | -4.82 |
| Construction durables, 1985=100 | 91.2 | 93.4 | 94.3 | 93.7 | 95.4 | 95.6 | 97.7 | 104.0 | -4.41 | -2.27 |
| Aerospace, 1985=100 | 95.9 | 99.1 | 103.1 | 105.6 | 107.0 | 109.4 | 111.9 | 114.0 | -10.46 | -9.45 |
| Electronics, 1985=100 | 86.0 | 87.3 | 87.8 | 88.5 | 90.6 | 92.2 | 92.8 | 92.4 | -5.02 | -5.30 |
| Semiconductor orders, mil. \$, not s.a. | 1694.0 | 1540.6 | 1438.7 | 1387.3 | 1267.0 | 1297.0 | 1215.8 | 1203.8 | 33.70 | 16.28 |
| Whls/retail trade employment, thousands | 4652.4 | 4675.7 | 4701.4 | 4693.0 | 4713.4 | 4725.7 | 4725.7 | 4791.9 | -1.30 | -1.04 |
| Retail sales, Pacific District, mil. \$ | 25848 | 25696 | 25881 | 25078 | 25445 | 25321 | 24655 | 25101 | 1.59 | N/A |
| Services employment, thousands | 5510.9 | 5502.6 | 5497.4 | 5488.5 | 5471.9 | 5445.0 | 5404.5 | 5441.2 | 0.71 | 1.09 |
| Health care, 1985=100 | 133.0 | 132.5 | 131.8 | 131.2 | 129.8 | 128.9 | 127.6 | 127.6 | 2.44 | 2.81 |
| Business services, 1985=100 | 112.7 | 113.5 | 113.4 | 112.0 | 112.7 | 113.6 | 113.1 | 112.6 | 0.03 | 0.10 |
| Hotel, 1985=100 | 130.8 | 132.3 | 133.3 | 134.5 | 131.7 | 132.1 | 132.1 | 135.4 | -0.71 | 0.13 |
| Recreation, 1985=100 | 140.7 | 139.6 | 139.5 | 140.7 | 139.1 | 140.1 | 138.2 | 139.6 | 1.16 | -0.51 |
| Finance, insurance, and real estate empl., thousands | 1234.4 | 1239.2 | 1244.3 | 1242.3 | 1245.0 | 1247.2 | 1247.9 | 1258.8 | -0.85 | -0.65 |
| GOVERNMENT EMPLOYMENT, THOUSANDS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Federal government | 602.7 | 601.6 | 609.7 | 611.5 | 614.1 | 610.7 | 614.5 | 618.8 | -1.86 | -1.55 |
| State and local | 2946.4 | 2906.0 | 2901.6 | 2883.8 | 2888.9 | 2863.2 | 2851.0 | 2842.2 | 1.99 | 1.47 |

Data are weighted aggregates of available 12th District data constructed by FRBSF staff from public and industry sources.

Opinions expressed in this newsletter do not necessarily reflect the views of the management of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, or of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
Editorial comments may be addressed to the editor or to the author. . . . Free copies of Federal Reserve publications can be obtained from the Public Information Department, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, P.O. Box 7702, San Francisco 94120.
Phone (415) 974-2246, Fax (415) 974-3341.

San Francisco, CA 94120
P.O. Box 7702


Research Department Bank of San Francisco

PERSONAL INCOME Annualized Percent Growth Rates

| | 92Q2 | 92Q1 | 91Q4 | 91Q3 | 91Q2 | Annual Growth | | |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|---------------|------|------|
| | | | | | | 1992* | 1991 | 1990 |
| Alaska | 1.2 | 13.2 | 4.8 | 5.0 | -0.8 | 7.0 | 4.1 | 6.7 |
| Arizona | 4.4 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 5.7 |
| California | 4.3 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 7.4 |
| Hawaii | 4.0 | 10.8 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 11.3 |
| Idaho | 5.3 | 4.6 | 13.9 | 2.3 | 12.0 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 7.9 |
| Nevada | 6.1 | 11.0 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 8.5 | 4.3 | 10.6 |
| Oregon | 4.4 | 9.1 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 7.1 |
| Utah | 6.8 | 10.6 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 7.1 | 8.7 | 5.8 | 8.2 |
| Washington | 3.4 | 9.6 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 9.1 |
| 12th District | 4.3 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 7.6 |
| U.S. | 3.9 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 6.3 |

* Year-to-date

Twelfth District Business Sentiment* GDP



* Expectations for GDP growth during the next four quarters based on a survey of approximately 75 business leaders in the 12th Federal Reserve District.

NON-AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENT Annualized Percent Growth Rates

| | 92Q3 | 92Q2 | 92Q1 | 91Q4 | 91Q3 | Annual Growth | | |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|---------------|------|------|
| | | | | | | 1992* | 1991 | 1990 |
| Alaska | -0.5 | -7.3 | 3.5 | 7.3 | 0.6 | -1.5 | 2.1 | 5.2 |
| Arizona | 4.1 | -1.2 | 0.6 | -0.2 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.6 |
| California | -1.6 | -1.7 | -0.5 | -3.4 | -1.1 | -1.3 | -2.9 | 0.4 |
| Hawaii | -3.5 | -1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.6 | -1.2 | 1.0 | 3.8 |
| Idaho | 1.8 | -2.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 4.9 |
| Nevada | 0.7 | -1.6 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 5.3 |
| Oregon | -0.2 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | -0.1 | 2.5 |
| Utah | 4.3 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 4.4 |
| Washington | -1.6 | -2.3 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 1.6 | -0.7 | 1.0 | 3.3 |
| 12th District | -0.8 | -1.5 | 0.6 | -1.3 | 0.1 | -0.6 | -1.4 | 1.4 |
| U.S. | 0.2 | 1.1 | -0.2 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | -1.0 | 0.3 |

* Year-to-date

UNEMPLOYMENT RATES Average Quarterly Data

| | 92Q3 | 92Q2 | 92Q1 | 91Q4 | 91Q3 | Annual Average | | |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|----------------|------|------|
| | | | | | | 1992* | 1991 | 1990 |
| Alaska | 9.4 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 7.0 |
| Arizona | 6.4 | 7.3 | 8.7 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 5.3 |
| California | 9.4 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 5.6 |
| Hawaii | 4.6 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Idaho | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
| Nevada | 7.2 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 5.0 |
| Oregon | 7.0 | 6.7 | 8.1 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 5.5 |
| Utah | 5.1 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.3 |
| Washington | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 4.9 |
| 12th District | 8.4 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 5.4 |
| U.S. | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 5.5 |

* Year-to-date